DES: A Deductive Database System

Fernando Sáenz-Pérez

Dept. Ingeniería del Software e Inteligencia Artificial Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain fernan@sip.ucm.es

Abstract

This work describes a novel implementation of a deductive database system which fills some gaps other systems do not. In fact, this system was born to this end and since its inception, many new features have been added (null values, outer joins, aggregates, ...). In particular, it embodies both Datalog and SQL query languages, where the same database can be queried. It enjoys an actual interactive environment for any platform (Windows, Linux, Macintosh, ...) and it has been plugged to a Java GUI IDE for easing user interaction (syntax highlighting, projects, ...). The system is distributed under GPL license, hosted by sourceforge, and heavily used all around the world.

1 Introduction

The intersection of relational databases, logic, and artificial intelligence was the melting pot of deductive databases. A deductive database system includes procedures for inferring information from the so-called intensional database (deductive rules) in addition to the so-called extensional database (deductive rules without body, i.e., facts following the logic programming nomenclature). Deductive database languages are related to the Prolog language, and Datalog has become the *de-facto* standard deductive database query language. Datalog allows to write queries as normal logic programs (without function symbols), and they are ensured to be terminating upon some conditions (e.g., avoiding infinite relations as arithmetical

predicates).

This language has been extensively studied and is gaining a renowned interest thanks to their application to ontologies [10], semantic web [6], social networks [16], policy languages [2], and even for optimization [11]. In addition, companies as LogicBlox, Exeura, Semmle, and Lixto embody Datalog-based deductive database technologies in the solutions they develop. The high-level expressivity of Datalog and its extensions has therefore been acknowledged as a powerful feature to deal with knowledge-based information.

Compared to the widely-used relational database language SQL, Datalog adds two main advantages. First, its clean semantics allows to better reason about problem specifications. Its more compact formulations, notably when using recursive predicates, allow better understanding and program maintenance. Second, it provides more expressivity because the linear recursion limitation in SQL is not imposed. In fact, multiple recursive calls can be found in a deductive rule body.

Several deductive systems have emerged along time, mostly born from academic efforts. See, for instance DLV [14], XSB [19], bddbddb [13], LDL++ [1], ConceptBase [12], and .QL [15]. Translating these outcomes to experiment with and to widen the dissemination of state-of-the-art features of such deductive systems is hard since no one meets the following desired properties: multi-platform, interactiveness, multi-language support, freeness, and open-sourcing, among others.

In this paper, we list the main features of DES (Datalog Educational System) [18], high-

lighting some of the ones that make this tool different from any (existing, available) other.

Organization of this paper is as follows. Section 2 summarizes the main features of the current version of the system. Section 3 describes the Datalog and SQL query languages as they can be used from DES. Section 4 explains our proposal to the management of null values and outer join operators in Datalog, which in turn are used in the compilation of SQL statements to Datalog programs. Also, a novel approach to aggregates is described in Section 4, including both aggregate functions and predicates. Finally, Section 6 draws some conclusions.

2 Main Features

This section lists a brief summary of the main features DES enjoys:

Full Recursion Datalog rules can be recursive, mutually recursive, include negation in bodies, and contain as many recursive calls as needed, as opposed to recursive SQL.

Stratified Negation DES ensures that negative information can be gathered from a program with negated goals provided that a restricted form of negation is used: stratified negation [23]. This broadly means that negation is not involved in a recursive computation path, although it can use recursive rules. The system can correctly compute a query Q in the context of a program that is restricted to the dependency graph (which shows the computation dependencies among predicates) built for Q so that a stratification can be found. The user can ask the system for displaying the predicate dependency graph as well as for the stratification via commands.

Built-ins There are available some usual comparison operators $(=, \setminus =, >, ...)$. All these operators demand ground (variable-free) arguments (i.e., no constraints are allowed up to now) but equality, which performs unification. In addition, arithmetic expressions are allowed via the infix operator **is**, which

relates a variable with an arithmetic expression. The result of evaluating this expression is assigned/compared to the variable. The predicate not/1 implements stratified negation. Other built-ins are explained in Sections 4 and 5.

Full-Fledged Arithmetics In contrast to other deductive systems (as, notably, DLV), arithmetical expressions can be as complex as needed, using almost the complete set of functions and operators in state-of-the-art Prolog systems, following the standard ISO Prolog.

Safety and Computability Built-in predicates are appealing, but they come at a cost: The domain of their arguments is infinite, in contrast to the finite domain of each argument of any user-defined predicate. Since it is neither reasonable nor possible to (extensionally) give an infinite answer, when a subgoal involving a built-in is going to be computed, its arguments need to be range restricted, i.e., the arguments have to take values provided by other subgoals. DES provides a preprocessor for source-to-source translations that allows deciding whether a rule is safe (an extension of conditions in [23, 25] for safe rules) and, if so, to translate it by reordering its goals in order to make it computable.

Temporary Views Temporary views allow to write compound queries on the fly (as, e.g., conjunctions and disjuntions). A temporary view is a rule which is added to the database, and its head is submitted as a query and executed. Afterwards, the rule is removed. For instance, given the relations a/1 and b/1 defined by facts, the view d(X) :- a(X), not(b(X)) computes the set difference between the instance relations (sets) a and b. Note that the view is evaluated in the context of the program; so, if there are more rules already defined with the same name and arity of the rule's head, the evaluation of the view will return its answer set considering the program already loaded. For instance, the view a(X) :- b(X) computes the union of a and b.

Automatic Temporary Views Automatic temporary views, *autoviews* for short, are temporary views which do not need a head. When submitting a conjunctive query, a new temporary relation, named **answer**, is built with as many arguments as relevant variables occur in the conjunctive query. **answer** is a reserved word and cannot be used for defining other relations. The conjunctive query **a(X)**, **b(Y)** is an example of an autoview, which computes the Cartesian product of **a** and **b**.

Two Query Languages. One Deductive Database Both Datalog and SQL languages are provided and query the same database. Moreover, Datalog programs can seamlessly refer to objects created in the SQL side, as tables and views¹. Whereas the so-called extensional (deductive) database (EDB) is composed of Datalog facts and tuples in tables, the so-called intensional database (IDB) is composed of Datalog rules and relational views. The system includes a parser and preprocessor for Datalog, and a parser and a compiler from SQL to Datalog. SQL queries are processed with the deductive engine.

Datalog Declarative Debugger In [4], an approach to debug Datalog programs anchored to the semantic level instead of the computation level is proposed. This approach has been implemented in DES as a novel way of applying declarative debugging, also called algorithmic debugging, to Datalog programs. It is possible to debug queries and diagnose missing answers (an expected tuple is not computed) as well as wrong answers (a given computed tuple should not be computed). The system uses a question-answering procedure which the user starts when he detects an unexpected answer for some query. Then, if possible, it points to the program fragment responsible of the incorrectness.

Test Case Generator DES implements a novel test case generator for SQL views following [5]. Test case generation provides tuples for the involved input tables that can be matched to the intended interpretation of a view and therefore be used to catch posible design errors in the view. Both positive (PTC) and negative (NTC) test cases are generated. Executing a view for a PTC should return, at least, one tuple. This tuple can be used by the user to catch errors in the view, if any. This way, if the user detects that this tuple should not be part of the answer, it is definitely a witness of the error in the design of the view. On the contrary, the execution of the view for a negative test case should return at least one tuple which should not be in the result set of the query. Again, if no such a tuple can be found, this tuple is a witness of the error in the design.

Commands The system console accepts several commands which are isolated from the database signature, i.e., name clash is avoided even when a relation takes the same name than a command. This is possible because submitting a command implies to precede it with the symbol "/". Commands are catalogued as: 1) Rule database commands, for inserting, deleting and listing both programs and single rules. 2) Operating system commands, for dealing with the operating system file system and external commands. 3) Extension table commands, for information about the memoization result. 4) Log commands, for logging system output to files. 5) Informative commands, for showing the predicate dependency graph, stratification, system status, help and others. 6) Miscellanea commands, as for quitting the session and invoking Prolog.

Batch Processing The command process *filename* allows to process the file *filename* as a batch of user inputs. In addition, if the file des.ini is located at the installation directory, its contents are interpreted as input prompts and executed before giving control to the user. Therefore, automation is possible as, for instance, to set the DES application as a

¹Note that SQL views cannot refer to Datalog relations because SQL tables and views have attached relational metadata regarding column names and types, whereas Datalog rules do not.

180 X Jornadas sobre Programación y Lenguajes

component of more complex systems of as a delegate for tasks sent from other systems. In this case, inter-process communication is via files. Batch files can contain remarks because prompt input lines starting with the symbol % are interpreted as such, which imply no computations. In addition, the command /log allows to write the system output to a file, which can be used by another application.

System Status The way the system behaves can be modified by setting system flags. Several configurations are allowed: 1) Simplification mode, where automatic simplification for rules is allowed in order to enhance performance. 2) Program transformations, for trying to find safe formulations (cf. "Safety and Computability" above). 3) Development mode, for detailed listings that show compiled rules and internal representations. 4) Datalog and SQL pretty-print listings. 5) Verbose output, which lists informative reports about the execution. 6) Selection of algorithms to compute negation. 7) Elapsed time displays, whether basic or detailed.

Termination Evaluation of queries is ensured to be terminating as long as no infinite predicates/operators are considered (data are constants so that terms with unlimited depth are not allowed). Currently, only the infix operator is represents an infinite relation and can deliver unlimited pairs. For instance, let's consider the rules p(0). and p(X) := p(Y), Y is X+1. Then, the query p(X) is not terminating since its meaning is infinite ({p(0), p(1), ...}). However, terminating programs involving this operator are also possible by explicitly limiting its domain (cf. Section 3).

Implementation The system has been implemented following ISO Prolog, its binaries uses an efficient Prolog engine (SICStus Prolog) and moreover implements memoization techniques [21, 9] for upgraded efficiency. The computation is guided by the query, instead of following a bottom-up approach. However, focus was not set on performance, but on rapid

prototyping of useful features, so that this system cannot be seen as a practical deductive database for large amounts of data since it is developed from an in-memory database point of view. In addition, neither indexing is provided nor concurrent accesses are allowed.

Free and Open-Source DES is free, opensource and distributed under GPL license.

Impact Many universities and researchers have used it (des.sourceforge.net/des_ facts) and its downloading statistics (des. sourceforge.net/statistics) reveals it as a live project (a new release is expected every two or three months). Statistical numbers show a notable increasing number of downloads, amounting to more than 1,500 downloads a month (vs. 300 for XSB) during last months, more than 27,000 downloads since 2004, and more than 32,000 entries in Google. Figure 1 shows the number of downloads a month since its first release (scale in thousands displayed on the left axis and numbers as blue bars) and bandwidth (scale in GB displayed on the right axis and numbers as yellow surface). Also, as a matter of impact, if the word "Datalog" is queried in any web search engine, DES occurs at the very first positions.

Interactive Shell DES has been developed to be used via an interactive command shell (see "Portable System" below what applications are provided). Other systems, as DLV, do not provide an interactive shell, which we find quite useful for learning and quickly experiment with the system.

IDEs Nonetheless, more appealing environments are available. On the one hand, DES has been plugged to the multi-platform, Javabased IDE ACIDE [17]. It features syntax colouring, project management, interactive console with edition and history, configurable buttons for DES commands, shortcuts, and much more (see Figure 2). On the other hand, an Emacs environment has been distributed by Markus Triska as a contribution to this project. It includes DES in one buffer



Figure 1: Statistics since 2004

and allows to edit and run Datalog programs with keyboard shortcuts.

Multi-platform Since DES is ported to several Prolog systems (including Ciao Prolog [3], GNU Prolog [8], SICStus Prolog [20] and SWI-Prolog [24]), it can be used from any of these environments running on any platform they support (e.g., Windows, Linux glibc 2.x, Mac OS X 10.x, Solaris 10, AIX 5.1L, ...).

Portable System Portable applications do not need installation and can be run from any directory they are stored. This amounts to a straightforward startup procedure: Simply copy a folder to the desired target and run the application. DES has been compiled to two portable applications for Windows and Linux OS command shells. In addition, a portable windows application is also provided for the former OS (featuring menus with configurations mainly targeted to the underlying Prolog engine and OS).

3 Datalog and SQL

This section deals with the supported query languages in DES. Nonetheless, Prolog goals can also be submitted to the deductive database both query languages do share.

3.1 Datalog

- A DES *program* consists of a set of rules.
- A *rule* has the form head :- body, or simply head, ending with a dot.
- A *head* is a positive atom including no built-in predicate symbols.
- A *body* contains a conjunctions (denoted by ",") and disjunctions (denoted by ";") of literals (with usual associativity and priority for these operators).
- A *literal* is either an atom, or a negated atom or a built-in.
- A *query* is a literal and its arguments can be variables or constants (some built-ins

182 X Jornadas sobre Programación y Lenguajes

🗟 ACIDE 0.7 - DES1.8.1	
File Edit Project View Configuration Help	
🗋 🖄 🕞 🕼 🏠 🏠 🕼 datalog sql prolog status consult process listing pdg strata abolish list_et clear_et verb_on verb_off dev_on dev_off safe_on safe_off simp_on sim	np_
DES.1.8.1 aggregates.dl aggregates.sql K relop.sql family.dl K orbits.dl parity.dl K	
<pre></pre>	
<pre>answer(a,a,3), answer(a,b,1), answer(a,c,2), answer(a,d,4), answer(b,b,3), answer(b,c,4), answer(b,c,4), answer(b,c,4), answer(b,c,3) } Info: 8 tuples computed. Info: Batch file processed. DES-SQL> </pre>	
C:\fernan\research\BDDEDUC\DES\Releases\DES1.8.1\DES1.8.1\Windows Grammar: DES Lexicon Configuration: des 18:13:44	

Figure 2: ACIDE Integrated Development Environment configured for DES

are exceptions, as will be shown in Section 4, and include other atoms as arguments). In addition, recall that temporary views can also be submitted as queries, as introduced in Section 2.

Compound terms are not allowed but as arithmetic expressions, which can occur in certain built-ins (for writing arithmetic expressions and conditions).

Datalog programs are typically consulted via the system command /consult filename, and queries are typed at the DES system prompt. The answer to a query is the set of facts matching the query which are deduced in the context of the program, from both the extensional and intensional database. A query with variables for all its arguments gives the whole set of facts (meaning) defining the queried relation. If a query contains a constant in an argument position, it means that the query processing will select the facts from the meaning of the relation such that the argument position matches the constant (i.e., analogous to a select relational operation with an equality condition).

3.2 SQL

DES covers a wide set of the SQL language following the ISO standard, including recursive queries. There is provision for the DDL (data definition language), DML (data manipulation language) and DQL (data query language) parts of the language. Database updates are allowed in DML via INSERT, DELETE, and UPDATE statements. Also, integrity constraints as primary key and foreign key can be specified in DDL CREATE TABLE statements and also monitored by the system. A type system has been implemented to check and infer types of views and queries. DQL part includes SELECT and WITH statements.

As well, SQL statements can be submitted at the system prompt. However, in contrast to Datalog programs, they can not be consulted but batch processed via the system command /process filename.

SQL DQL statements are translated into and executed as Datalog programs, and relational metadata for DDL statements are kept and can be consulted with the command /dbschema object, where the optional object can be either a table or a view. Submitting a DQL query amounts to parse it, compile to a Datalog program which includes the relation answer/N with as many arguments as expected from the statement, assert this program and submit the Datalog query answer(\overline{X}), where \overline{X} are N fresh variables. After its execution, this program is removed. On the contrary, if a DDL statement defining a view is submitted, its translated program and metadata do persist.

3.3 Datalog vs. SQL

Datalog subsumes SQL since the former implements first order logic, whereas the latter implements an extended relational algebra. In addition, Datalog is more readable and concise (cf. also Subsection 5.2). Let's consider the following problem: Given a graph defined by the relation edge(Origin,Destination,Length), find the minimum path between all possible pairs. A possible recursive SQL formulation follows:

FROM Edge))
SELECT Origin,Destination,MIN(Length)
FROM path
GROUP BY Origin,Destination;
% Query:
SELECT * FROM shortest_paths;

The same problem can be formulated in Datalog as:

```
% Program:
    path(X,Y,1) :-
    edge(X,Y).
    path(X,Y,L) :-
        path(X,Z,LO),
        edge(Z,Y),
        count(edge(A,B),Max),
        LO<Max,
        L is LO+1.
% Query:
    shortest_paths(X,Y,L) :-
    min(path(X,Y,Z),Z,L).
```

4 Outer Joins

Unknownness has been handled in relational databases long time ago because its ubiquitous presence in real-world applications. Despite its claimed dangers due to unclean semantics (see, for instance, the discussion in [7]), null values to represent unknowns have been widely used. Including nulls in a Datalog system implies to also provide built-ins to handle them, as the outer join operations. DES includes the common outer join operations in relational databases, providing the very same semantics for operators ranging over nulls.

4.1 Null Values

The null value is included in each program signature for denoting unknowns, in a similar way it is an inherent part of current relational database systems. Comparing null values in Datalog opens a new scenario: Two null values are not (known to be) equal, and are (not known to be) distinct. So, neither null = null nor null \= null hold. However, a semantic flaw emerges in

Programación Lógica y Bases de Datos 183

184 X Jornadas sobre Programación y Lenguajes

not(null = null), which succeeds!² The very same situation occurs in SQL: The conditions A<>B and not(A=B), where A and B are columns, do not yield the same logical outcome when considering nulls. So, the user has to be conscious of this behavior. Therefore, instead of using comparison operators over variables that may take null values, two built-in predicates are provided:

- is_null/1: Test whether its single argument is a null value.
- is_not_null/1: Test whether its single argument is *not* a null value.

4.2 Outer Join Built-ins

Three outer join operations are provided, following relational database query languages (SQL, extended relational algebra): left, right and full outer joins. An outer join computes the cross-product of two relations that satisfy a third relation, extended with some special tuples including nulls as explained next. In an outer join, tuples in one of the first two relations which have no counterpart in the other relation (w.r.t. the third relation) are included in the result (the values corresponding to the relation with no corresponding tuple are then set to null). If this is true for relation Ain the cross-product $A \times B$ then it is a left outer join; if it is true for B then it is a right outer join; if it is true for both then it is a full outer join. In DES, the left (resp. right, and full) outer join corresponds to the construction lj(A,B,C) (resp. rj(A,B,C), and fj(A,B,C)), with A, B, and C relations.

A *join* condition has not to be missed with a *where* condition. Let's consider the query lj(a(X),b(Y),X=Y), which is not equivalent to $lj(a(X),b(X),true)^3$. Assuming that x and y are columns of tables a and b, resp., these queries could be respectively written in SQL as follows:

SELECT * FROM a LEFT JOIN b ON x=y; SELECT * FROM a LEFT JOIN b WHERE x=y;

Outer join relations can be nested as well, as in

lj(a(X),rj(b(Y),c(U,V),Y=U),X=Y)

Which is equivalent to the following SQL statement:

SELECT * FROM a LEFT JOIN (b RIGHT JOIN c ON y=u) ON x=y;

Note that compound conditions must be enclosed between parentheses, as in:

lj(a(X),c(U,V),(X>U;X>V))

5 Aggregates

Aggregates refer to functions and predicates that compute values with respect to a collection of values instead of a single value. We provide five usual aggregates: sum (cumulative sum), count (element count), avg (average), min (minimum element), and max (maximum element). In addition, the less usual times (cumulative product) is also provided. They behave close to most SQL implementations, i.e., ignoring nulls.

5.1 Aggregate Functions

An aggregate function can occur in expressions and returns a value, as in R=1+sum(X), where sum is expected to compute the cumulative sum of possible values for X, and X has to be bound in the context of a group_by predicate (cf. next section), wherein the expression also occurs.

5.2 Predicate group_by

This predicate encloses a query for which a given list of variables builds answer sets (groups) for all possible values of these If we consider the relation variables. employee(Name, Department, Salary), the number of employees for each department can be counted with the query group_by(employee(N,D,S),[D],R=count). If employees are not yet assigned to a department (i.e., a null value in Department), then this query behaves as a SQL user

 $^{^2 \, {\}rm The}$ negation of the equality should behave as dis-

equality. 3 Notice that the variable X is shared for relations

would expect: excluding those employees from the count outcome. If we rather want to count active employees (those with assigned salaries), we can use the query group_by(employee(N,D,S), [D],R=count(S)).

Conditions including aggregates on groups (cf. HAVING conditions in SQL) can be stated as well. For instance, for counting the active employees of departments with more than one employee, one can query:

group_by(employee(N,D,S),[D],count(S)>1)

Conditions including no aggregates on tuples (cf. WHERE conditions in SQL) of the input relation (cf. SQL FROM clause) can also be used. For instance, the following query computes the number of employees by department whose salary is greater than 1,000: group_by((employee(N,D,S), S>1000),

[D], R=count(S)). Note that the following query is not equivalent to the last one, since variables in the input relation are not expected to be bound after a grouping computation, and it raises a run-time exception upon execution: group_by(employee(N,D,S), [D], R=count(S)), S>1000.

The predicate group_by admits a more compact representation than its SQL counterpart. Let's consider the following Datalog view:

q(X,C) :-

```
group_by(p(X,Y),[X],(C=count;C=sum(Y)))
```

which is equivalent to:

```
CREATE VIEW q(X,C) AS
(SELECT X,COUNT(Y) AS C
FROM p GROUP BY X)
UNION
(SELECT X,SUM(Y) AS C
FROM p GROUP BY X);
```

5.3 Aggregate Predicates

An aggregate predicate returns its result in its last argument position, as in sum(p(X), X, R), which binds R to the cumulative sum of values for X, provided by the input relation p. These aggregate predicates simply allow another way of expressing aggregates, in addition to the way explained just above. For instance, the following query is allowed: count(employee(N,D,S),S,T).

A group_by operation is simply specified by including the grouping variable(s) in the head of a clause, as in the following view, which computes the number of active employees by department: c(D,C) Having :- count(employee(N,D,S),S,C). conditions are also allowed, including them as another goal of the first argument of the aggregate predicate as, for instance, in the following view, which computes the number of employees that earn more than the average: count((employee(N,D,S),avg(employee(N1, D1,S1),S1,A),S>A),C). Note that this query uses different variables in the same argument positions for the two occurrences of the relation employee. Compare this to the following query, which computes the number of employees so that each one of them earns more than the average salary of his corresponding department. Here, the same variable name D has been used to refer to the department for which the counting and average are computed: count((employee(N,D,S),avg(employee(N1, D,S1),S1,A),S>A),C).

6 Conclusions

This paper has listed the main features of the deductive database educational system DES and described some of the most relevant ones that distinguish it as a unique system. Following such features, intended users who can benefit from this system include students, teachers, practitioners and researchers, since it can be used to, first, learn and teach both SQL and Datalog languages in a single, database-shared environment. Second, to experiment with its features since it is free, open-source and furthermore is completely implemented with Prolog, a high-abstraction-level programming language. On the one hand, this allows to change its behaviour and add new features much more easily than either using a lower-abstractionlevel language or using several languages. On the other hand, it allows to test own proposals in the logic domain (as, for instance, language and database extensions, ontologies, and semantic web applications). And, third, to be used as a deductive component of other systems as, for instance, ontology semantic resources needing knowledge-based reasoning.

We think that the key features making DES a success are: easy-to-use/install interactive system, robust, multi-platform and a design which has been guided by demand in teaching. However, since this system is an ongoing project, many more improvements can (and most likely will) be included, as connections to existing relational DBMSs, enhanced performance, precise syntax errors reports, multiline input, multisets, and so on.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by projects TIN2008-06622-C03-01, S-0505/TIC/0407, S2009TIC-1465, and UCM-BSCH-GR58/08-910502. Also thanks to Jan Wielemaker for providing SWI-Prolog [24], Markus Triska for its SWI-Prolog FD library [22], Daniel Diaz for GNU-Prolog [8], and the CLIP group for Ciao Prolog [3]. Finally, to Rafael Caballero and Yolanda García-Ruiz for their contributions in making possible both the Datalog declarative debugger and test case generator.

References

- [1] Faiz Arni, KayLiang Ong, Shalom Tsur, Haixun Wang, and Carlo Zaniolo. The Deductive Database System LDL++. Theory and Practice of Logic Programming, 3(1):61-94, 2003.
- [2] Moritz Becker, Cedric Fournet, and Andrew Gordon. Design and Semantics of a Decentralized Authorization Language. In *CSF '07: Proceedings of the 20th IEEE Computer Security Foundations Symposium*, pages 3–15, Washington, DC, USA, 2007. IEEE Computer Society.
- [3] F. Bueno, D. Cabeza, M. Carro, M. Hermenegildo, P. López-García, and G. Puebla. The Ciao Prolog system. Reference manual. Technical Report

CLIP3/97.1, School of Computer Science, Technical University of Madrid (UPM), August 1997. Available from http://www.clip.dia.fi.upm.es/.

- [4] R. Caballero, Y. García-Ruiz, and F. Sáenz-Pérez. A Theoretical Framework for the Declarative Debugging of Datalog Programs. In International Workshop on Semantics in Data and Knowledge Bases SDKB 2008, volume 4925 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 143–159. Springer, 2008.
- [5] R. Caballero, Y. García-Ruiz, and F. Sáenz-Pérez. Applying Constraint Logic Programming to SQL Test Case Generation. In Proc. International Symposium on Functional and Logic Programming (FLOPS'10), volume 6009 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2010.
- [6] Andrea Calì, Georg Gottlob, and Thomas Lukasiewicz. Datalog±: a unified approach to ontologies and integrity constraints. In *ICDT '09: Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Database Theory*, pages 14–30, New York, NY, USA, 2009. ACM.
- [7] C J Date. SQL and relational theory: how to write accurate SQL code. O'Reilly, Sebastopol, CA, 2009.
- [8] Daniel Diaz. GNU Prolog 1.3.1. A Native Prolog Compiler with Constraint Solving over Finite Domains, 2009. Available from http://www.gprolog.org/.
- [9] Suzanne W. Dietrich. Extension tables: Memo relations in logic programming. In *SLP*, pages 264–272, 1987.
- [10] Richard Fikes, Patrick J. Hayes, and Ian Horrocks. OWL-QL - a language for deductive query answering on the Semantic Web. J. Web Sem., 2(1):19–29, 2004.
- [11] Sergio Greco, Irina Trubitsyna, and Ester Zumpano. NP Datalog: A Logic Language for NP Search and Optimization

Programación Lógica y Bases de Datos 187

Queries. Database Engineering and Applications Symposium, International, 0:344– 353, 2005.

- [12] Matthias Jarke, Manfred A. Jeusfeld, and Christoph Quix (Eds.). ConceptBase V7.1 User Manual. Technical report, RWTH Aachen, April 2008.
- [13] Monica S. Lam, John Whaley, V. Benjamin Livshits, Michael C. Martin, Dzintars Avots, Michael Carbin, and Christopher Unkel. Context-sensitive program analysis as database queries. In Chen Li, editor, Proceedings of the Twenty-fourth ACM SIGACT-SIGMOD-SIGART Symposium on Principles of Database Systems (PODS), pages 1–12. ACM, 2005.
- [14] Nicola Leone, Gerald Pfeifer, Wolfgang Faber, Thomas Eiter, Georg Gottlob, Simona Perri, and Francesco Scarcello. The DLV system for knowledge representation and reasoning. ACM Transactions on Computational Logic, 7(3):499–562, 2006.
- [15] G. Ramalingam and Eelco Visser, editors. Proceedings of the 2007 ACM SIG-PLAN Workshop on Partial Evaluation and Semantics-based Program Manipulation, 2007, Nice, France, January 15-16, 2007. ACM, 2007.
- [16] Royi Ronen and Oded Shmueli. Evaluating very large Datalog queries on social networks. In EDBT '09: Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Extending Database Technology, pages 577–587, New York, NY, USA, 2009. ACM.
- [17] Fernando Sáenz-Pérez. ACIDE: An Integrated Development Environment Configurable for LaTeX. *The PracTeX Journal*, 2007(3), August 2007. Available at http://acide.sourceforge.net.

- [18] Fernando Sáenz-Pérez. Datalog Educational System V1.8.1, March 2010. http: //des.sourceforge.net/.
- [19] Konstantinos Sagonas, Terrance Swift, and David S. Warren. XSB as an efficient deductive database engine. In SIGMOD '94: Proceedings of the 1994 ACM SIG-MOD International Conference on Management of Data, pages 442–453, New York, NY, USA, 1994. ACM.
- [20] SICStus Prolog, 2010. http://www.sics. se/isl/sicstus.
- [21] H. Tamaki and T. Sato. OLDT resolution with tabulation. In *Third International Conference on Logic Programming*, pages 84–98, 1986.
- [22] Markus Triska. Generalising constraint solving over finite domains. In International Conference on Logic Programming (ICLP), pages 820–821, 2008.
- [23] Jeffrey D. Ullman. Database and Knowledge-Base Systems, Vols. I (Classical Database Systems) and II (The New Technologies). Computer Science Press, 1995.
- [24] Jan Wielemaker. An overview of the SWI-Prolog programming environment. In Fred Mesnard and Alexander Serebenik, editors, Proceedings of the 13th International Workshop on Logic Programming Environments, pages 1–16, 2003.
- [25] Carlo Zaniolo, Stefano Ceri, Christos Faloutsos, Richard T. Snodgrass, V. S. Subrahmanian, and Roberto Zicari. Advanced Database Systems. Morgan Kaufmann, 1997.



CEDI 2010 0 1 0 VALENCIA 0 1 0 7 A 10 DE SEPTIEMBRE DE 2010

III CONGRESO ESPAÑOL DE INFORMÁTICA UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA

Actas de las X Jornadas sobre Programación y Lenguajes | PROLE2010 | (SISTEDES)

> EDITORES Víctor M. Gulías, Josep Silva, Alicia Villanueva

Nuevos retos científicos y tecnológicos en Ingeniería Informática



ACTAS DE LAS X JORNADAS SOBRE PROGRAMACIÓN Y LENGUAJES, PROLE2010 (SISTEDES)

EDITORES

Víctor M. Gulías Josep Silva Alicia Villanueva



Actas de las X Jornadas sobre Programación y Lenguajes, PROLE2010 (SISTEDES)

Editores: Víctor M. Gulías, Josep Silva, Alicia Villanueva ISBN: 978-84-92812-55-4

IBERGARCETA PUBLICACIONES, S.L., Madrid, 2010

Edición: 1^a Impresión: 1^a Nº de páginas: 290 Formato: 17 x 24 Materia CDU: 004 Ciencia y tecnología de los ordenadores. Informática

Reservados los derechos para todos los países de lengua española. De conformidad con lo dispuesto en el artículo 270 y siguientes del código penal vigente, podrán ser castigados con penas de multa y privación de libertad quienes reprodujeren o plagiaren, en todo o en parte, una obra literaria, artística o científica fijada en cualquier tipo de soporte sin la preceptiva autorización. Ninguna parte de esta publicación, incluido el diseño de la cubierta, puede ser reproducida, almacenada o trasmitida de ninguna forma, ni por ningún medio, sea éste electrónico, químico, mecánico, el ectro-óptico, grabación, fotocopia o cualquier otro, sin la previa autorización escrita por parte de la editorial.

Diríjase a CEDRO (Centro Español de Derechos Reprográficos), www.cedro.org, si necesita fotocopiar o escanear algún fragmento de esta obra.

COPYRIGHT © 2010 IBERGARCETA PUBLICACIONES, S.L. info@garceta.es

Actas del II Workshop de Reconocimiento de Formas y Análisis de Imágenes (AERFAI)

Derechos reservados ©2010 respecto a la primera edición en español, por LOS AUTORES Derechos reservados ©2010 respecto a la primera edición en español, por IBERGARCETA PUBLICACIONES, S.L.

1ª Edición, 1ª Impresión

ISBN: 978-84-92812-55-4

Depósito legal: M-

Maquetación: Los Editores Coordinación del proyecto: @LIBROTEX Portada: Estudio Dixi Impresión y encuadernación: OI: 18/2010 PRINT HOUSE, S.A.

IMPRESO EN ESPAÑA -PRINTED IN SPAIN

Nota sobre enlaces a páginas web ajenas: Este libro puede incluir referencias a sitios web gestionados por terceros y ajenos a IBERGARCETA PUBLICACIONES, S.L., que se incluyen sólo con finalidad informativa. IBERGARCETA PUBLICACIONES, S.L., no asume ningún tipo de responsabilidad por los daños y perjuicios derivados del uso de los datos personales que pueda hacer un tercero encargado del mantenimiento de las páginas web ajenas a IBERGARCETA PUBLICACIONES, S.L., y del funcionamiento, accesibilidad y mantenimiento de los sitios web no gestionados por IBERGARCETA PUBLICACIONES, S.L., directamente. Las referencias se proporcionan en el estado en que se encuentran en el momento de publicación sin garantías expresas o implícitas, sobre la información que se proporcione en ellas.

Comité de Programa de PROLE'2010

Presidente Comité: Victor M. Gulías (U. de A Coruña)

Jesús Almendros (U. de Almería) María Alpuente (U. Politécnica de Valencia) Puri Arenas (U. Complutense de Madrid) Miquel Bertran (U. Ramon Llull) Santiago Escobar (U. Politécnica de Valencia) Antonio J. Fernández Leiva (U. de Málaga) Lars-Ake Fredlund (U. Politécnica de Madrid) María del Mar Gallardo (U. de Málaga) Paqui Lucio (U. del País Vasco) Narciso Martí (U. Complutense de Madrid) Ginés Moreno (U. de Castilla-La Mancha) Marisa Navarro (U. del País Vasco) Manuel Núñez (U. Complutense de Madrid) Fernando Orejas (U. Politécnica de Catalunya) Yolanda Ortega Mallén (U. Complutense de Madrid) Francisco Ortín (U. de Oviedo) Germán Puebla (U. Politécnica de Madrid) Enric Rodríguez-Carbonell (U. Politécnica de Catalunya) Julio Rubio (U. de La Rioja) Jaime Sánchez (U. Complutense de Madrid) Germán Vidal(U. Politécnica de Valencia)

Comité de Programa de TPF'2010

Presidente Comité: Josep Silva (U. Politécnica de Valencia)

Rafael Caballero (U. Complutense de Madrid)
Laura Castro (U. de A Coruña)
Francisco Gutierrez (U. de Málaga)
José Iborra (U. Politécnica de Valencia)
Salvador Lucas (U. Politécnica de Valencia)
Pablo Nogueira (U. Politécnica de Madrid)
Ricardo Peña (U. Complutense de Madrid)
Mateu Villaret (U. de Girona)

Comité Organizador Local

Coordinadora: Alicia Villanueva (U. Politécnica de Valencia)

Antonio Bella (U. Politécnica de Valencia) Marco Antonio Feliú (U. Politécnica de Valencia) Raúl Gutiérrez (U. Politécnica de Valencia) Daniel Romero (U. Politécnica de Valencia) Sonia Santiago (U. Politécnica de Valencia) Salvador Tamarit (U. Politécnica de Valencia)

Comité Permanente

Jesús Almendros (U. de Almería) María Alpuente (U. Politécnica de Valencia) Víctor M. Gulías (U. de A Coruña) Manuel Hermenegildo (U. Politécnica de Madrid) Juan José Moreno-Navarro (U. Politécnica de Madrid) Ginés Moreno (U. de Castilla-La Mancha) Fernando Orejas (U. Politécnica de Cataluña) Ricardo Peña (U. Complutense de Madrid) Ernesto Pimentel (U. de Málaga)

Revisores Adicionales

Javier Álvez, Michele Baggi, Antonio Becerra-Terón, Rafael Caballero, David Castro, Sonia Estevez Martín, Henrique Ferreiro, Jose Gaintzarain, Yolanda García Ruiz, Miguel Gómez-Zamalloa, Montserrat Hermo, Luigi Liquori, Alejandro Luna, Miguel Palomino, Jaime Penabad, Adrián Riesco, Juan Rodríguez-Hortalá, Daniel Romero, Francisco P. Romero Chicharro, Fernando Sáenz-Pérez, Salvador Tamarit

Prólogo

Las jornadas sobre PROgramación y LEnguajes (PROLE) se vienen consolidando como un marco propicio de reunión, debate y divulgación para los grupos españoles que investigan en temas relacionados con la programación y los lenguajes de programación.

PROLE'2010 tiene lugar en Valencia durante los días 8 y 10 de Septiembre de 2010, como parte del III Congreso Español de Informática (CEDI'2010), y representa la décima edición de estas jornadas, continuando la tradición de las ediciones anteriores celebradas en Almagro (2001), El Escorial (2002), Alicante (2003), Málaga (2004), Granada (2005), Sitges (2006), Zaragoza (2007), Gijón (2008) y San Sebastián (2009). La presente edición va precedida el día 7 de Septiembre por un taller vinculado a PROLE, el II Taller de Programación Funcional (TPF'2010), que cuenta con su propio Comité de Programa.

En la tradición de los últimos años, PROLE se celebra junto a las Jornadas de Ingeniería del Software y Bases de Datos, auspiciadas por la Sociedad de Ingeniería del Software y Tecnologías de Desarrollo de Software (SISTEDES). Queremos agradecer tanto a los organizadores de CEDI'2010 como a SISTEDES el soporte, infraestructura y apoyo prestados.

Estas actas recopilan tanto los trabajos aceptados para su presentación en PROLE'2010 como TPF'2010. El volumen recopila un total de 30 trabajos que fueron rigurosamente revisados cada uno de ellos por, al menos, 3 miembros de los comités de programa de PROLE/TPF y/o revisores adicionales, a los cuales agradecemos su excelente colaboración y sugerencias para la mejora de los trabajos. Para PROLE'2010 se han selecccionado 26 trabajos que cubren aspectos teóricos y prácticos relativos a la especificación, diseño, implementación, análisis, verificación, validación y aplicación de programas y lenguajes de programación. Por su parte, TPF'2010 ha seleccionado 4 trabajos directamente relacionados con el paradigma de programación funcional.

Además de las restantes actividades vinculadas a CEDI'2010, el programa de PROLE'2010 cuenta con una conferencia invitada que, bajo el título *Property-based testing with Quickcheck*, será impartida por John Hughes, profesor en Chalmers University y CEO de la compañía sueca Quviq. El programa de TPF'2010 cuenta este año con tres seminarios sobre programación funcional impartidos por Carlos Abalde, Gilles Barthe y Pablo Nogueira. A todos ellos queremos agradecer el haber aceptado nuestra invitación.

Por último, queremos agradecer al comité permanente de PROLE la confianza depositada en nosotros para conducir la presente edición de PROLE y TPF, y muy especialmente a nuestros predecesores Ginés Moreno, Ricardo Peña y Paqui Lucio, cuya ayuda y experiencia ha facilitado esta labor.

Septiembre 2010

Víctor M. Gulías Josep Silva Alicia Villanueva

Contenido

X JORNADAS SOBRE PROGRAMACIÓN Y LENGUAJES, PROLE2010

1. Conferencia Invitada	
Property-based testing with QuickCheck John Hughes	3
2 Taller Programacion Funcional	
Una implementación del λ-cálculo en Prolog	7
Juan Antonio Guerrero, Ginés Moreno, Carlos Vázquez	
Fibonacci Heaps in Haskell	15
Ricardo Peña	
Implementing Type Classes using Type-Indexed Functions	23
Enrique Martin-Martin	
Sistema de Control para un Dispositivo de Entretenimiento Doméstico en Erlang	31
Samuel Rivas, Victor M. Gulias	
3 Verificación y Validación	
Generating certified code from formal proofs: a case study in Homological Algebra	39
Jesus Aransay-Azofra, Clemens Ballarin, Julio Rubio	
Testing Data Consistency of Data-Intensive Applications using QuickCheck	41
Laura M. Castro, Thomas Arts	
A Verification of a Process Supervisor with McErlang	55
David Castro, Clara Benac Earle, Lars-Åke Fredlund, Victor M. Gulias, Samuel Rivas	
An approach to verify hybrid systems with SPIN	67
Maria-del-Mar Gallardo, Laura Panizo	
4 Semántica y Análisis	
Call-by-need, call-by-name and natural semantics	83

Lidia Sánchez Gil, Mercedes Hidalgo-Herrero, Yolanda Ortega-Mallén	
Forward analysis for Petri nets with name creation	93
Fernando Rosa-Velardo, David de Frutos-Escrig	
A semantics to generate a Petri net from a CSP specification	95
Marisa Llorens, Javier Oliver, Josep Silva, Salvador Tamarit	
Verification of Dynamic Data Tree with mu-Calculus extended with Separation	109
Maria-del-Mar Gallardo, David Sanan	

5 Análisis de Programas

A Space Consumption Analysis By Abstract Interpretation	113
Manuel Montenegro, Ricardo Peña, Clara Segura	
Towards Compositional CLP-based Test Data Generation for Imperative Languages	115
Elvira Albert, Miguel Gómez-Zamalloa, José Miguel Rojas Siles, Germán Puebla	
Parametric Inference of Memory Requirements for Garbage Collected Languages	127
Elvira Albert, Samir Genaim, Miguel Gómez-Zamalloa	
Balancing Execution Trees	129
David Insa Cabrera, Josep Silva, Adrián Riesco	

6 Programacion Lógica, Fuzzy, Lógico-Funcional y con Restricciones

Efficient Thresholded Tabulation for Fuzzy Query Answering	145
Pascual Julián-Iranzo, Jesús Medina-Moreno, Ginés Moreno, Manuel Ojeda-Aciego	
A declarative debugger of missing answers for functional, logic programming	147
Fernando Pérez Morente, Rafael del Vado Vírseda	
A Declarative Semantics for CLP with Qualification and Proximity	149
Mario Rodríguez-Artalejo, Carlos A. Romero-Díaz	
Multi-Adjoint Lattices for Manipulating Truth-Degrees into the FLOPER System	151
Pedro-Jose Morcillo, Ginés Moreno, Jaime Penabad, Carlos Vázquez	

7 Programación Lógica y Bases de Datos

Efficient BES-based Bottom-Up Evaluation of Datalog Programs	165
Marco A. Feliú, Christophe Joubert, Fernando Tarín	
DES: A Deductive Database System	177

Fernando Sáenz-Pérez

A Prototype Constraint Deductive Database System based on $HH_{\neg}(C)$	189
Gabriel Aranda-López, Susana Nieva, Fernando Sáenz-Pérez, Jaime Sánchez-Hernández	

8 Especificación y Transformación de Modelos y Servicios	
Incremental Service Composition based on Partial Matching of Visual Contracts	199
M. Naem, R. Heckel, Fernando Orejas, F. Hermann	
Scalable Discovery of Behavioural Services through Software Adaptation	201
José Antonio Martín, Ernesto Pimentel	
A Model Transformation Approach based on Prolog and Ontologies	211
Jesús Manuel Almendros-Jiménez, Luis Iribarne	
A Formal specification of the Kademlia distributed hash table	223
Isabel Pita	

9 XML y Web Semántica

Proving satisfiability of constraint specifications on XML documents	237
Marisa Navarro, Fernando Orejas	
A Prolog-based Query Language for OWL	249
Jesús Manuel Almendros-Jiménez	
Visualización de Información Extraída Automáticamente de Multiples Páginas Web	263
Héctor Valero, Carlos Castillo, Josep Silva	